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Acronyms and Definitions

ARO: Account Relations Officer; a loan officer at a FINCA branch office responsible for working directly with clients

ARS: Account Relations Supervisor; responsible for overseeing one category of loan product at a specific FINCA branch

BPR: Business Process Re-engineering; a method used to streamline processes and realize cost savings for an organization

Business Loans: A loan product offered by FINCA for individuals who own businesses

Cost of Funds: The amount of money a financial institution pays to borrow money from either the central bank or other commercial banks

Excel: Microsoft Excel, a data analysis tool

Financial Spread: The difference between the cost of funds and interest rate for a financial institution; this comprises the majority of their revenue

FINCA: refers to FINCA Uganda Limited, an affiliate of FINCA International

FINCA International: Foundation for International Community Assistance; a non-profit microfinance institution based in Washington D.C. with affiliates in 21 countries around the world

KPI: Key Performance Indicator

MDI: Microfinance Deposit-Taking Institution; a designation developed by the Bank of Uganda for their four tiered system of regulating financial institutions

MFI: Microfinance Institution

NOM: Net Operating Margin; the total of revenues less expenses for a particular period

Process Mapping: A methodology of drawing each step involved in a business process to understand each step involved and outline areas for improvement

Small Group Loans: A loan product offered by FINCA for groups of 5-10 people

Village Group Loans: A loan product offered by FINCA for groups of 10-50 people
Abstract

This report summarizes a six week internship undertaken by the researcher with FINCA Uganda Limited, an affiliate of FINCA International (Foundation for International Community Assistance). The broad objective of this internship was to assess FINCA Uganda’s operations from a financial standpoint and engineer methods to sustainably reduce operating costs. FINCA was chosen because they are a prominent MDI (Microfinance Deposit-Taking Institution) in Uganda and expressed interest in the proposed project.

Working from the broad internship objective, the Chief Executive Officer of FINCA Uganda and Africa Regional Finance Manager of FINCA International helped refine specific projects to assess performance and improve operational efficiency. Due to the focus of the internship, the researcher worked closely with the Operations and Finance departments of FINCA. Additionally, the Africa Regional Finance Manager of FINCA International and individuals from the Ben Kiwanuka branch of FINCA Uganda were very helpful in providing information and insight to help with projects. Microsoft Excel was used to analyze data collected and help reach meaningful conclusions.

The projects were structured chronologically to first measure performance, and then proceed to devise ways to improve it. Therefore, the first two projects undertaken were the benchmarking studies comparing all branches of FINCA Uganda, and then comparing all worldwide affiliates of FINCA International. After seeing the results from the benchmarking study for FINCA Uganda, projects were undertaken to improve loan processing speed and decrease transportation costs for loan officers. The results from these projects and subsequent recommendations stand to greatly benefit FINCA Uganda.
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Introduction

The information contained in this report is the result of four research projects undertaken during a six week internship with FINCA Uganda Ltd. Because of the high and increasing cost of doing business, projects were carried out to assist FINCA with understanding its costs and subsequently help reduce those costs.

Due to the nature of the internship, the researcher worked closely with the Operations and Finance departments of FINCA Uganda, located in the organization’s headquarters in Kampala. Information was also collected by doing interviews with all key members of a branch office: Account Relations Officers and Account Relations Supervisors for all three loan products (Business Loans, Small Group Loans, and Village Group Loans), along with the Branch Accountant and Branch Manager. Because some of the data collected is confidential proprietary information of FINCA International and FINCA Uganda Ltd., some specific figures have been left out of this report.

The projects undertaken were organized chronologically as seen in the Objectives section of this report. Both of the benchmarking studies were completed first because the goal was to understand what cost drivers make certain branches and affiliates more successful. After gaining this knowledge, work continued on Business Process Re-engineering (BPR) for loan processing and analyzing transportation costs for loan officers. The recommendations reached from these projects were passed on to FINCA executives, and are contained in this report.
Background

The Foundation for International Community Assistance (FINCA International) began operating in 1984 as a Microfinance Institution (MFI), opening branches in Latin America with its headquarters in Washington D.C. Currently, FINCA International has affiliates in 21 countries worldwide, serving over 800,000 clients located in the following regions: Africa, Eurasia, Greater Middle East, and Latin America. FINCA Uganda Ltd. was the first affiliate to open in Africa, beginning operations in 1992. In 2004, FINCA Uganda registered with the Bank of Uganda as a Microfinance Deposit-Taking Institution (MDI), becoming the first organization to do so. FINCA Uganda’s mission is “To provide financial services to the country’s lowest-income entrepreneurs so they can create jobs, build assets, and improve their standard of living” [1]

Because both FINCA Uganda (26 branches in the country) and FINCA International (21 affiliates around the world) have wide ranging operations, benchmarking studies were useful to understand which branches and affiliates were strong performers or weak performers. Benchmarking studies are a commonly used feature in cost consulting; within any large organization there is bound to be a significant difference in performance among different business units. These studies examined key operating metrics such as operating revenues, operating expenses, net operating margins, and subsequently ranked the branches and affiliates according to their performance. Carrying out these benchmarking studies was an essential step to help identify cost drivers, which differentiated between branches and affiliates who exhibited good or bad cost control.

As an MDI, FINCA Uganda’s main focus is on giving loans to low income entrepreneurs. Loan products fall into three main categories: Business Loans, Small Group Loans, and Village Group Loans. Business Loans are for individuals who own businesses, and can vary from a minimum of 500,000 UGX to a maximum of 60,000,000 UGX. Small Group Loans are for groups of 5 to 10 people, with each individual taking a loan from 300,000 UGX to 6,000,000 UGX. Village Group Loans are for groups of 10 to 50 people, with each individual taking a loan from 50,000 UGX to 6,000,000 UGX. Due to this loan focus, the best way to achieve sustainable cost reduction was to focus on reducing the cost of loan operations. Process mapping was conducted for all three categories of loan products, dividing the entire process of loan approval into individual steps. From there, each step in the process was examined to find how recommendations would effectively

---

streamline the process. The most effective solutions to streamline the process were formulated into recommendations which will improve loan processing speed and thereby cut costs.

Transportation is also a leading cost driver – accounting for 7% of operating expenses at FINCA Uganda – so research was carried out to analyze transportation costs and find ways to decrease those costs. The most arduous, time consuming, and expensive part of the loan approval process is doing site visits to a client’s business and home. Currently, FINCA has no standard policy for transportation; Account Relations Officers (AROs) either take public transportation (taxis or boda bodas) or use FINCA vehicles (cars or motorcycles) and then expense the cost of their travel to FINCA on a weekly basis. FINCA requested that the researcher analyze transportation records and speak to AROs to find more cost effective ways of transportation. This will eventually be incorporated into a single transportation policy.
Objectives

The broad objective of this internship was to assess FINCA Uganda’s operations from a financial standpoint and engineer methods to sustainably reduce operating costs. Specifically, objectives for each of the four projects undertaken during the duration of the internship were as follows:

1. Conduct a benchmarking study assessing the operational success of all 26 FINCA Uganda branches throughout the country.
2. Conduct a benchmarking study comparing the operational and financial success of all 21 FINCA International country affiliates worldwide.
3. Analyze loan processing for Business Loans, Small Group Loans, and Village Group Loans at FINCA Uganda. Compare the costs and benefits of centralized versus decentralized loan processing. Make recommendations about how to streamline loan processing.
4. Analyze transportation costs for loan officers at FINCA Uganda. Recommend which methods of transportation are most cost efficient.
Justification

The ongoing economic turbulence in Uganda is increasing the cost of doing businesses for all enterprises. Inflation has increased rapidly over the past year, currently standing at 29% \(^2\). The Central Bank Rate – the rate at which the Bank of Uganda loans money to banks – has also risen, and is now at 23% \(^3\). These rising rates mean that operating costs are increasing, especially for financial institutions.

In addition to unfavorable macroeconomic trends, FINCA faces the unique challenge of a microfinance institution: it must provide quality services to its customers while dealing with smaller amounts of money than a commercial bank. For this reason, controlling operating costs is key to the success of any microfinance institution.

However, in order to achieve lasting cost savings (as opposed to temporary budget cuts), one must find a way to reengineer operations in a way which continually saves money. Business Process Re-engineering (BPR) is one of the most effective ways to reach this goal, which is why projects were undertaken to this effect. Loan processing and transportation were examined using BPR to help achieve the goal of cost reduction.


Methodology

Data Analysis

Because this internship focused on performance measurement and cost reduction, a good deal of data analysis was conducted using Microsoft Excel. For the benchmarking study at FINCA Uganda, the researcher used monthly profit and loss data from all 26 branches countrywide. The data covered operations from March 2011 through September 2011. To help focus on key performance indicators, results were compiled for the following five categories: operating revenue, financial expenses, operating expenses, total expenses, and net operating margin before indirect expenses. Additionally, results were compiled on the basis of actual monthly figures as compared to budgeted targets – the percentage of variance between results and expectations. This was done because simply evaluating total figures would have unfairly skewed results in favor of larger branches. Also, evaluating the variance helped assess (a) how branches compared to their targets and (b) how accurate budgeted expectations were.

The benchmarking study for FINCA International was different than the one for FINCA Uganda because more data was available. In addition to examining the key operating metrics, balance sheets and income statements for the four regions and 21 affiliates were also analyzed. The end results for the study were organized into six distinct Key Performance Indicator (KPI) categories: profitability, growth, asset quality, loan performance, balance sheet structure, and efficiency. This style of organization resulted in a deep analysis of both operating and financial metrics from January through April of 2011. Affiliates were compared to both their regional averages and the overall international averages. Similar to the FINCA Uganda benchmarking study, affiliates were ranked in all KPI categories to differentiate between the strongest and weakest performers.

When looking at loan processing, not as much data analysis was conducted. Data on loan processing was examined to look at how many disbursements were in each of the three loan product categories. This was useful to see how much time was spent on each category, and provided a good background to the process mapping. Some of the data from the monthly profit and losses was used to also provide context for the process maps.

Analyzing transportation expenditures involved a two-fold method of data analysis and interviews. The monthly profit and loss data also included transportation information, under two general categories: transportation and vehicles. Transportation includes public transportation, and
vehicles includes vehicles and motorcycles. The vehicles section further breaks down to include vehicle fuel, vehicle maintenance and repairs, motorcycle fuel, and motorcycle maintenance and repairs. Using this data, a comprehensive analysis was carried out to find salient conclusions from the data, including the difference between transportation in Kampala and rural areas, the comparative costs of fuel and maintenance and repairs to the whole of vehicles, and more.

Interviews

While most of the information used in the internship came from data files that FINCA already had, additional interviews were carried out to supplement the findings. Interviews were especially useful for process mapping of the three types of loan processing. They also helped refine how AROs conducted transportation and how their superiors viewed transportation expenses and policy.

To understand loan processing, interviews were conducted with an ARO and Account Relations Supervisor (ARS) from Business Loans, Small Group Loans, and Village Group Loans at the Ben Kiwanuka branch office. Interviews were also conducted with the Branch Accountant and Branch Manager. Using the information from the interviews about each individual’s role in the different loan processes, process maps were built and then double checked with all interviewees to ensure accuracy.

The interviews also helped to understand transportation expenses from a variety of perspectives. From talking to AROs, it was readily apparent that there was no consistency in how they traveled. Some loan officers were conscious about the cost of their travels, but most favored ease of travel over the cost. At the higher levels – Branch Accountant and Branch Manager – there was more concern over the cost, but this did not appear to be an important item on their agenda. Most of the concern over transportation costs came from the senior Finance and Operations staff in the Headquarters.

Process Mapping & Re-engineering

According to the Iowa State University Facility for Planning and Management, “Process mapping is a workflow diagram to bring forth a clearer understanding of a process or series of parallel processes” [4]. Process mapping is a useful tool when seeking to understand a complex process in any organization, one with multiple steps where numerous people are involved. Loan

---

processing in FINCA is a perfect example of such a process. Documents are transferred between many people – the client, AROs, ARSs, Loan Committees, Branch Managers/Accountants, and the Centralized Accounts in the Headquarters.

The process maps were created by doing interviews with all of the people involved in loan processing. Each individual’s role was examined in thorough detail to ensure that every step of the process was captured. After the initial maps were created, they were reviewed with all of the people involved to ensure accuracy.

Once the process maps were created, the researcher proceeded to examine the steps in the process which were most costly or unnecessary for FINCA. From there, recommendations were formulated to address these issues and help streamline the process. The recommendations were passed on to senior management at FINCA Uganda and FINCA International, who are contemplating how to best implement recommendations.
Findings & Analysis

This section contains the findings and analysis of research conducted during the internship. Because of the complexity of this section, findings are split into four categories, according to each of the four projects undertaken.

1. Benchmarking study for FINCA Uganda

The first project undertaken during the six week internship was a benchmarking study for FINCA Uganda. Because FINCA Uganda has 26 operational branches across the country, there is a considerable variance between the strong performers and weak performers. Using Microsoft Excel to analyze profit and loss data from all affiliates, branches were compared to each other on five main metrics: operating revenue, financial expenses, operating expenses, total expenses, and net operating margin before indirect expenses. When compiling the statistics, it was noticed that Net Operating Margins, After Indirect Expenses were generally very poor. When ranked, only one branch (Nakulabye) had a positive Net Operating Margin after Indirect Expenses – meaning that the other 21 branches ranked all failed to meet operating margin targets. Judging from revenues and expenses – the figures that comprise operating margins – this simply did not seem accurate. The reason behind these poor statistics was that Indirect/Head Office expenses were recorded in actual terms, but no budgeted figures were given. Therefore, the total actual figure was much lower than it should be because total Net Operating Margin budget estimates were too high. This is why only Net Operating Margin before Indirect Expenses was considered in the compiled figures.

Additionally, this figure is a more accurate representation of a branch’s operating performance because Indirect/Head Office expenses are paid out by the head office and not incurred by the branch in the course of their operations.

A table was compiled to compare how each branch ranked in the five categories considered. The figures are the variance between budgeted targets and the actual results. This shows if a branch is underperforming, meeting expectations, or exceeding expectations. The tables below contain this information. Yellow highlighting represents the top five branches in each category – the ideal benchmark. Red highlighting represents the bottom five branches in each category. The figures are averages for all data from March through September of 2011. Figure 1 below: Compiled operating statistics for Operating Revenue, Financial Expenses, and Operating Expenses.
Figure 2 below: Compiled operating statistics for total expenses and net operating margin before indirect expenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Revenue</th>
<th>Financial Expenses</th>
<th>Operating Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoima</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>Mityana -76.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakulabye</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>Iganga -50.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamuli</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>Kabale -38.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katwe</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>Lira -18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mityana</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>Mbarara -13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyotera</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>Jinja -13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kireka</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>Kyotera -7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabale</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>Masaka -6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukono</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>Koboko 37.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbarara</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>Mba 39.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Portal</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>Gulu 40.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arua</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>Nakulabye 50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbale</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>Kawempe 66.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulu</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>Arua 66.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lira</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>Hoima 88.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koboko</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>Katwe 104.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben K</td>
<td>-2.6%</td>
<td>Ben K 108.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinja</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>Fort Portal 116.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masindi</td>
<td>-6.0%</td>
<td>Mukono 134.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawempe</td>
<td>-8.7%</td>
<td>Masindi 134.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iganga</td>
<td>-10.4%</td>
<td>Kamuli 191.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masaka</td>
<td>-14.4%</td>
<td>Kireka 957.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Expenses</th>
<th>NOM before Indirect Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koboko</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mityana</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinja</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iganga</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kireka</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakulabye</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawempe</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masaka</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulu</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lira</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbale</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katwe</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben K</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbarara</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyotera</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masindi</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arua</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukono</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabale</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mityana | 323.5% |
| Hoima   | 180.7% |
| Kireka  | 151.1% |
| Nakulabye | 55.7% |
| Katwe   | 29.7%  |
| Koboko  | 26.2%  |
| Kamuli  | 20.5%  |
| Kyotera | 19.9%  |
| Mukono  | 1.3%   |
| Gulu    | 1.3%   |
| Lira    | -12.2% |
| Jinja   | -13.8% |
| Mbale   | -5.2%  |
| Mbarara | -15.7% |
| Ben K   | -18.8% |
| Fort Portal | -26.1% |
| Iganga  | -28.5% |
| Masindi | -31.5% |
| Gulu    | -32.2% |
In addition to comparing all of the statistics, the benchmarking report contained branch-by-
branch analyses of the overall statistics and trends. When reviewing trends, it is important to
understand how business changes seasonally. This helps to differentiate between growth or decline
due to a branch’s operations or seasonal trends. When reviewing individual branch figures, it was
noticed that nearly every branch had worse than expected figures in March, April and May. This
was reflected in year-to-date variances, which nearly always showed that branches had
underperformed expectations. However, branches began to do better in the coming months, with
most branches greatly exceeding budgeted figures in August and September (revenues as well as
expenses). August specifically proved to be a month where revenues were extremely strong across
all branches. Branches which saw revenues increase from August to September are more likely to
show that their growth comes from operational successes rather than seasonal trends. Additionally,
branches whose operating revenue growth rates exceeded their operating expense growth rates have
achieved genuine success due to operational efficiency, rather than seasonal growth. These cases
have been noted in the branch by branch notes, along with above trends.

Below is a sample of the branch by branch reports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branch</th>
<th>Operating Revenue Variance</th>
<th>Financial Expenses Variance</th>
<th>Operating Expenses Variance</th>
<th>Total Expenses Variance</th>
<th>NOM before Indirect Expenses Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Portal</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>116.5%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>-26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamuli</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>-33.5%</td>
<td>-42.8%</td>
<td>-42.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Portal</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>-33.5%</td>
<td>-42.8%</td>
<td>-42.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holma</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>-33.5%</td>
<td>-42.8%</td>
<td>-42.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overview:
Revenue at Fort Portal is healthy, averaging 7% over budget. Financial expenses are high, but
only slightly below the middle in rank. In general, financial expenses are difficult to accurately
budget for and rarely affect the bottom line because they are very small compared to operating
expenses. However, operating expenses at Fort Portal are very high, which is the major reason
Fort Portal has been missing operating margin targets.

Trends:
While revenues have been mostly close to target, strong growth has been seen in July through
September, with Fort Portal clearing targets by around 20% each month. Although financial
expenses average a high 116.5%, they have swung both ways, illustrating the difficulty of
budgeting for these. It is important to note that in August and September financial expenses
were very high, at 238% and 351% over budget, respectively. In these situations, the high
financial expenses actually do have a measurable negative impact on the bottom line. Operating
expenses have proved difficult to control. Although they stayed near budget for most of the
time, they have grown out of control recently, at 17% over budget in June, 38% in July, 59% in August, and 63% in September. While revenue growth was strong in this period, it was eclipsed by rapid expense growth, which is responsible for hurting the operating margin.

**Gulu**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Revenue</th>
<th>Financial Expenses</th>
<th>Operating Expenses</th>
<th>Total Expenses</th>
<th>NOM before Indirect Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0% 14/22</td>
<td>40.5% 11/22</td>
<td>11.3% 8/22</td>
<td>12.8% 9/22</td>
<td>-32.2% 19/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overview:**
Revenues in Gulu are a small amount over budget, but still below the average variance. Besides good growth in August and September, revenues are very stable. Financial expenses are largely controlled, with some fluctuation. Operating revenues have been very consistent, excluding August and September. Except for one month, the branch has remained profitable despite most missing operating margin targets. Indirect expenses are high for a branch of this size.

**Trends:**
Overall, Gulu is one of the most consistent branches. Operating revenues and expenses have been steady from March through July, but both have been growing in August and September. Financial expenses are rarely close to budget and swing both ways, but overall they are at a decent level. In September, Gulu beat their NOM before Indirect Expense for the first time, but the overall NOM was killed by enormously high indirect expenses.

**Hoima**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Revenue</th>
<th>Financial Expenses</th>
<th>Operating Expenses</th>
<th>Total Expenses</th>
<th>NOM before Indirect Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.5% 1/22</td>
<td>88.5% 15/22</td>
<td>24.7% 21/22</td>
<td>27.2% 22/22</td>
<td>180.7% 2/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overview:**
By looking at the statistics in the table above, it is clear that Hoima is an exceptional branch in all respects. Revenues are very high, but so are expenses. Nonetheless, Hoima defies the general trend that controlling expenses is more important to a good operating margin than growing revenues. Clearly, budget estimates for Hoima need to be revised as variances are extremely high. The branch is struggling to maintain profitability, but still is growing very quickly.

**Trends:**
As indicated in the tables above, Hoima is growing very quickly. Fortunately, revenue growth has proved to be sustainable, and revenues in September were 30% higher than March. Expense growth has matched revenue growth, meaning that operating margins have not grown. If operating expenses can be kept down, the branch will be very successful as revenue growth is so strong.
### Iganga

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Operating Revenue</th>
<th>Financial Expenses</th>
<th>Operating Expenses</th>
<th>Total Expenses</th>
<th>NOM before Indirect Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>-10.4%</td>
<td>-50.7%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>-28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>20/22</td>
<td>2/22</td>
<td>13/22</td>
<td>4/22</td>
<td>17/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overview:**
Iganga has been missing revenue targets for most of the year, almost every month by the same amount. However, the branch has managed to contain financial expenses very well, ranking 2 out of 22 branches in this respect. Operating expenses remained at a decent level for most months. Due to low financial expenses, total expenses are good, only 5% above budgeted. Nonetheless, net operating margin has been low, and the branch did not meet operating margin targets every month.

**Trends**
Unfortunately, revenues have remained consistently below budget all year. While they have been closer to budget in August and September, Iganga still has not met operating revenue targets yet. Financial expenses have remained under control. Operating expenses, however, have been growing very quickly in the past 2 months, greatly eclipsing the small revenue growth. This has hurt the bottom line very much, and is the principal reason Iganga has not yet met operating margin targets.

### Jinja

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Operating Revenue</th>
<th>Financial Expenses</th>
<th>Operating Expenses</th>
<th>Total Expenses</th>
<th>NOM before Indirect Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>-13.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>-13.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>18/22</td>
<td>6/22</td>
<td>3/22</td>
<td>3/22</td>
<td>13/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overview:**
Jinja is one of the few branches that are consistently close to budget targets, as can be seen by the low variance levels in the table above. Revenues have been slightly under expectations, but consistent. Both financial expenses and operating expenses have been kept down. This is reflected in the total expense category, where Jinja’s expenses are among the lowest of any branch at only 3% above budget targets. Total net operating margin is fair and could be easily raised with if revenues were increased.

**Trends:**
As is common of more established branches, trends in Jinja are generally consistent. Revenues have hovered close to budget targets, and slightly exceeded expectations in August and September after failing to meet targets in all previous months. Although Jinja is adept at controlling expenses, operating expenses have nonetheless risen quickly from July to September (8% in July, 19% in August, and 30% in September). These high costs have pushed Jinja farther from meeting operating margin targets, but overall margins are still relatively stable and the outlook is fair.

When reviewing the data, it was readily apparent that financial expenses were difficult to accurately budget for. Variance levels were frequently far off estimates, often in the multiple
hundreds of percent off of budget. This is partially attributable to the statistical reality of working with smaller numbers; variance levels will be higher because a smaller change in the actual amount translates to a larger percentage. However, this does not explain the full extremity of how far financial expenses are off budget. 13 out of 22 branches had variances that were more than 50% off budget; 2 branches were more than 50% less than budgeted and 11 branches were more than 50% over budgeted expectations. This high level of variance is not seen in any of the other measured categories. For some branches, financial expenses do not have a substantial effect on the end budget. However, in larger branches and branches where the variance levels are very high (the 13 as indicated above) financial expenses do end up helping – or in most cases, hurting – the net operating margin.

2. Benchmarking study for FINCA International

Similar to the benchmarking study for FINCA Uganda, another benchmarking study was also carried out for FINCA International, the parent organization of FINCA Uganda. However, this study was more complex because FINCA International possesses a larger amount of data about their country affiliates, allowing deeper analysis. For this benchmarking study, all 21 country affiliates were compared on the following six Key Performance Indicators: profitability, growth, asset quality, loan performance, balance sheet structure, and efficiency.

Within each of the six KPI categories, there were additional categories which gave a fuller picture of financial and operational success. These subcategories are as follows:

- **Profitability**: interest and fee income as a percentage of average assets, other operating income as a percentage of average assets, financial expenses as a percentage of average assets, impairment losses as a percentage of average assets, operating expenses as a percentage of average assets, net operating margin before tax and donation as a percentage of average assets, return on assets after tax and before donations, return on equity after tax and before donations, and operating self-sufficiency.
- **Growth**: loan portfolio, clients, loan portfolio growth (annualized), client growth (annualized), average loan size.
- **Asset quality**: portfolio at risk ratio, reserves/arrears, reserves per loan.
- **Loan performance**: loan portfolio as a percentage of average loans, other operating income as a percentage of average loans, financial expenses as a percentage of average loans, financial spread as a percentage of average loans, loan loss reserves as a percentage of average loans, loan loss reserves as a percentage of average loans, salary expenses as a
percentage of average loans, administrative expenses as a percentage of average loans, operating expenses as a percentage of average loans, cost of funds.

-Balance sheet structure: cash and investments, net loan portfolio as a percentage of average assets, net fixed assets as a percentage of average assets, other assets as a percentage of average assets, deposit funding mix, debt funding mix, other liabilities funding mix, equity-to-assets.

-Efficiency: clients per loan officer, loans per loan officer, loans per employee, loan officers to total staff, operating expenses per client, operating expense per client.

Within FINCA International, the countries are grouped into four regions: Africa, Eurasia, Greater Middle East, and Latin America. Africa contains Congo, Malawi, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zambia. In Eurasia, there is Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kosovo, Kyrgyzstan, Russia, and Tajikistan. The Greater Middle East holds only Afghanistan and Jordan. Latin America contains Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, and Nicaragua.

The benchmarking study for FINCA International contained a regional analysis for each of the six KPI categories, along with a country-by-country analysis comparing each affiliate to regional and international averages. This allows FINCA to see how affiliates are performing compared to other similar affiliates and the overall organization. A sample of this report – the section detailing profitability – is below:

1. **Profitability**

**Africa**

**Regional Comparison:**
Africa generally compares favorably to other regions in profitability. The region has the best overall net operating margin, averaging 9.6% in the year-to-date. Return on Assets and Return on Equity are also both the highest of all four regions. However, operating expenses are also high, at 38% of average assets. Because of the good net operating margin, Africa experiences the highest operating self-sufficiency of any region.

-Congo: Profitability in the Congo is good, with a strong net operating margin of almost 10%. Return on Assets and Equity are also very strong, 4th and 3rd highest of all affiliates, respectively. Much of the revenue is generated by interest and fee income. The one area which could use improvement is operating expenses, where Congo ranks 11 out of 21.

-Malawi: Malawi ranks above average in most categories in profitability. Net operating margin is respectable, 8th among 21 affiliates. Return on assets and equity are good, along with income. However, operating expenses are very high – 18th out of 21 branches – and need to be controlled in order to maintain a good operating margin.

-Tanzania: In profitability, Tanzania turns in extremely strong results. Tanzania has the best net operating margin of any FINCA International affiliate, averaging almost 17% in the year to date. Return on assets and equity are very good, 2nd in both categories. Financial expenses and
operating expenses are a bit high – 10th and 12th out of 21, respectively – but seem to not really affect the bottom line very much.

-Uganda: In most categories, Uganda is about in the middle compared to all FINCA International affiliates. However, compared to the other Africa affiliates, profitability statistics in Uganda are lacking. Net operating margin is the last in Africa, likely due to high operating costs.

-Zambia: In Zambia, income is strong and net operating margin is good – 6th among all branches. Return on assets and equity are decent, slightly above average at 6% for assets and 18% for equity. Operating costs are very high, 19th of all affiliates.

Eurasia

Regional Comparison
Eurasia compares favorably to other regions, with the second highest net operating margin at 6%. Return on Assets and Return on Equity are also the second highest of all regions, at 5% and 23%, respectively. Income does not come very much from fees and interest, which is interesting for a successful region. Eurasia owes its success mainly to good operating expense control. At under 20% of assets, operating expenses are the lowest of any region.

-Armenia: Armenia performs reasonably well overall, with net operating margin ranking 9th out of 21 affiliates. Return on assets and equity are also both 9th out of 21. Income is comparatively low – interest and fee income make up only 33.6% of average assets. However, by keeping operating expenses low (3rd best of all affiliates at 19.4% of average assets) Armenia has been able to achieve an above average net operating margin.

-Azerbaijan: Azerbaijan performs very well in profitability measurements, with the 4th highest net operating margin at 10.4%. Return on assets and equity are the 5th and 4th highest of all affiliates, at 8.2% and 40.4%, respectively. Operating expenses are the 4th lowest of any affiliate, giving Azerbaijan the 3rd highest operating self-sufficiency level of all branches.

-Georgia: Georgia has also experienced good profitability performance, largely in line with the rest of Eurasia. Interest and fee income as a percentage of average assets are a low 17th of 21, at 28.5%. However, due to Georgia’s low operating expenses – 2nd best of all branches at 16.3% of average assets – the affiliate manages to turn in a good net operating margin of 8.9%, 7th best of all affiliates.

-Kosovo: In general, Kosovo underperforms compared to both other affiliates both in the region and around the world. Interest and fee income remains very low at 25.8% of average assets, the lowest in Eurasia and 3rd lowest of all international affiliates. This is why net operating margin is the lowest of all Eurasia affiliates at -0.5% despite the fact that Kosovo has the lowest operating expenses of all FINCA International affiliates.

-Kyrgyzstan: Kyrgyzstan performs at around an average level compared to international affiliates, and is close to the middle in nearly every category under profitability. The one area where Kyrgyzstan does well is operating expenses, with a year-to-date figure of 20.8%. Despite this, high financial expenses and low interest and fee income mean that Kyrgyzstan finishes at about an average level.

-Russia: Similar to Kyrgyzstan, Russia also turns in results which are about average compared to international affiliates. Net operating margin is 10th out of 21 at 3.4% and return on assets and equity are both 11th. Like other Eurasia affiliates, Russia manages to keep operating expenses at a good level, 6th of 21 affiliates.

-Tajikistan: In profitability statistics, Tajikistan is unique compared to other Eurasia affiliates. Interest and fee income are comparatively high at 40.5% of average assets. Also, operating
expenses are higher than most Eurasia affiliates at 31.3%, meaning that net operating margin is still slightly under profitability at -0.4%.

**Greater Middle East**

-Afghanistan: As is true in nearly every category, Afghanistan underperforms in profitability statistics. Interest and fee income are the lowest of any international affiliate, at only 7.6% of average assets. Operating expenses are also high at 39.2% of average assets. Net operating margin is 19\textsuperscript{th} of 21 affiliates at -25.4%. Return on assets and return on equity are also 19\textsuperscript{th} of all 21 affiliates. Operating self-sufficiency at Afghanistan is below 27% - the lowest of all affiliates.

-Jordan: Jordan’s performance across the profitability category varies, but is usually around average as compared to other international affiliates. The country turns in lower than average financial and operating expenses (9\textsuperscript{th} and 8\textsuperscript{th}, respectively). However, in most other categories performance at Jordan is slightly below average. Overall net operating margin is 1.7%, 14\textsuperscript{th} out of 21 branches.

**Latin America**

**Regional Comparison**

Latin America manages to turn in good income figures as a result of interest and fees, measuring 2\textsuperscript{nd} in this. However, operating expenses are the highest of any region, averaging 38.1% of average assets. In every other category, Latin America ranks 3\textsuperscript{rd} out of 4 regions (ahead of the Greater Middle East). Net operating margins and returns on assets and equity are decent. The region also experiences much more variance between its best and worst performers, which is not seen as much in Africa or Eurasia.

-Ecuador: Interest and fee income is the second lowest of all affiliates, making it difficult for Ecuador to achieve good operating margins and returns on assets and equity. Net operating margin ranks at 15\textsuperscript{th}, just under 1% of average assets. Ecuador just achieves operating self-sufficiency at 103.8%.

-El Salvador: El Salvador earns a decent amount of revenue from interest and fee income, ranking 11\textsuperscript{th} of all affiliates. However, high operating expenses – the second worst of all branches at 68.2% of average assets – hurt margins and returns at El Salvador. Because of these high expenses, net operating margin at El Salvador is -33.5% of average assets – the second worst of all international affiliates. Return on equity is the lowest of all affiliates at -153%.

-Guatemala: Despite high operating expenses (39.5% of average assets, 16\textsuperscript{th} of 21) Guatemala manages to achieve very good profitability figures. Interest and fee income are the 3\textsuperscript{rd} best, at 54.9% of average assets. This strong income gives Guatemala the 3\textsuperscript{rd} best net operating margin, at 12% of average assets.

-Haiti: Similar to Afghanistan, Haiti displays consistent underperformance across nearly every category. Income from interest and fees is low – 15\textsuperscript{th} of 21 – and operating expenses as a percentage of average assets are the highest of any international affiliate. Due to low revenues and high expenses, Haiti has the worst net operating margin of any international affiliate, -65.7% of average assets.

-Honduras: In most profitability categories, Honduras displays below average performance. Interest and fee income is good, but operating expenses are higher than average. Because of this, Honduras’ net operating margin is 13\textsuperscript{th} out of 21 at 2.3% of average assets.
-México: Mexico is consistently one of the best performers in Latin America, and is often responsible for balancing underperformers in Latin America. Interest and fee income are the 2\textsuperscript{nd} highest of all affiliates, comprising 64.4\% of average assets. Despite high operating expenses – 17\textsuperscript{th} out of 21 affiliates at 43.2\% - Mexico is still able to turn in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} best overall net operating margin. Returns on assets and equity are likewise very good, ranking 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 5\textsuperscript{th}, respectively.

-Nicaragua: Nicaragua also generally underperforms compared to other Latin America affiliates. Interest and fee income are 18\textsuperscript{th} out of all 21 affiliates, and operating expenses are the 13\textsuperscript{th} highest. Because of this, net operating margin is 18\textsuperscript{th} of all affiliates at -10\% of average assets. Nicaragua is still struggling to meet operating self-sufficiency, and is currently at 75.6\%

Due to the fact that the Greater Middle East only contains 2 countries, the overall figures for the region are not statistically reliable. Because of this, there is no regional comparison included with the data analysis for each of the six KPI categories. Additionally, Afghanistan has seemed to have a problem with the majority of categories included in the study, and generally underperforms the benchmark for FINCA International affiliates. However, Jordan generally performs reasonably well, and does not have the same issues that Afghanistan does. For this reason both countries are analyzed individually but not combined under the Greater Middle East region to be analyzed together.

While analyzing operating metrics and financial data, it is important to weigh current operating statistics against growth trends. Among the underperformers in operating measurements, some affiliates display strong growth while others are stagnant or even shrinking. This is important when deciding how to act on the findings contained in this study. Affiliates which are underperforming but growing quickly are not as much of a concern because growth will improve operating success. However, affiliates which are underperforming and not growing do require attention, and action should be taken to improve their performance or curb their potential to hurt FINCA International.

3. Business Process Mapping & Re-engineering for Loan Processing

The third project undertaken involved examining loan processing for all three main types of loan products (Business Loans, Small Group Loans, and Village Group Loans). The goals of this project were twofold: examine the costs and benefits of centralized versus decentralized loan processing, and make recommendations for how to streamline the process. Because the project required a thorough understanding of all types of loan processes, individuals from the Ben Kiwanuka branch office were consulted as to their roles in the process. The researcher spoke to
AROs and ARSs from all three loan products, along with the Branch Manager and Branch Accountant. The questionnaires used in these interviews are attached in the appendices.

Once all stakeholders in loan processing had explained their roles, process maps were created to portray each individual step of the process. The process maps were then re-checked with all of the interviewees to ensure that their roles had been accurately captured. A sample of the Small Group Loan process is displayed below.
After the maps were checked, the process was examined to find areas for improvement. As shown in the process map above, one of the steps involves possible revisions where the ARS has to pass the file back to the ARO to collect missing information. From speaking with the ARSs, it was found that these kinds of revisions are actually very common. To remedy this, it is suggested that FINCA uses computerized forms that will only be able to be forwarded on to the next level when all fields are filled out. This will eliminate the possibility of human error, negating the need to go back and recollect information.

Also, it was evaluated whether centralized or decentralized loan processing will benefit FINCA more. Currently, Business Loans are processed all in branch offices. Small Group Loans and Village Group Loans are processed mostly in a decentralized fashion, but some of the work is done through the centralized accounts in the Headquarters. However, as it stands, most of the process cannot be changed because the longest and most expensive part of the process is between the ARO and ARS. This part simply cannot be centralized, for it would be far too difficult to send loan officers from Kampala all over the country to collect client information. The process is sufficient as it is, and changing the process would not produce any benefits for FINCA – in fact, the cost of switching would be higher than any benefits gained.

4. Transportation Cost Analysis

One of the issues that FINCA has wanted to look at for a long time is transportation costs for AROs. Currently, there is no policy for how AROs should conduct their transportation on client visits and field appraisals. Transportation is indeed a significant expenditure for FINCA – from research it was found that transportation comprises on average 7% of operating expenses. AROs simply take whatever means of transportation they please and then expense the cost to FINCA. Transportation is broadly divided into two categories: public transportation and FINCA owned vehicles. FINCA owns both cars and motorcycles, which loan officers may use.

When conducting interviews for loan processing, AROs and ARSs were also asked about transportation. It seemed that there was not much emphasis placed on the cost of the travel, but rather on the ease of going about it. At the Branch Manager level, there was some concern about the cost, but the focus was also more on the ease of getting loan officers to wherever they needed to be.

Transportation was analyzed from a few different perspectives. The table below represents a comparison between different types of vehicle expenditures in urban (Kampala) and rural areas.
Differentiating between urban and rural travel was a necessary distinction to make. In Kampala, public transportation – taxis or boda bodas – are much more widely available, and therefore easier to take. However, they are also more expensive in Kampala than in rural areas. The table below shows the overall breakdown between transportation and vehicle expenditures in rural and urban areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle and Motorcycle Expenditures</th>
<th>Kampala</th>
<th>Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle fuel as a pct of Vehicle expenditures</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
<td>64.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle maintenance &amp; repairs as a pct of Vehicle expenditures</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle fuel as a pct of Total Vehicle expenditures</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle maintenance &amp; repairs as a pct of Total Vehicle expenditures</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle fuel as a pct of Motorcycle expenditures</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle maintenance &amp; repairs as a pct of Motorcycle expenditures</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle fuel as a pct of Total Vehicle expenditures</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle maintenance &amp; repairs as a pct of Total Vehicle expenditures</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to examining the difference between urban and rural travel, the researcher was interested in seeing if there was a correlation between how a branch goes about its transportation and its success in operating statistics. Overall, the average in FINCA is that branches spend 52% of transportation money on vehicles and 48% of its transportation money on public transportation. The table below shows how branches ranked by Net Operating Margin spend on transportation, showing the top five, middle five, and lowest five branches by Net Operating Margin before indirect expenses.
This table shows a strong correlation between transportation and operating success. Clearly, branches which use more public transportation are more likely to have a good Net Operating Margin. This correlation was present no matter how the data was looked at.
Conclusions

Due to the nature of the projects, conclusions presented in this section will represent takeaways from the two benchmarking studies, while the Recommendations section will contain the takeaways from the loan processing and transportation cost analysis projects.

Benchmarking Study for FINCA Uganda

The end results of this study contained useful information about key operating metrics for all FINCA Uganda branches. The study also brought to light a few salient conclusions. Business at FINCA Uganda seems to be very much seasonal. Revenues (and also expenses) were much lower during the first few months studied, from March through June. Beginning in July, nearly every branch began to see an increase in business, with revenues – and oftentimes expenses – rising quickly and beating targets. It is uncertain why this happens, but this should help FINCA to budget seasonally and adjust expectations based on trends.

Indirect/Head Office expenses also play an important role in a branch’s performance evaluation. Because these figures are not expenses paid out by the branches, they were not included in the final results of the study. However, when they were, they greatly affected branch performance and skewed the statistics. It is important to keep these separate from branch Net Operating Margins.

Possibly the most important conclusion reached from this study was how revenues and expenses affect Net Operating Margins. After analyzing the branches with good and bad operating margins, it was clear that branches that controlled expenses fared better overall. This further justifies the loan processing and transportation cost analysis projects, seeing as good cost control will make a branch more successful than good revenue earnings.

Benchmarking Study for FINCA International

This study was interesting because it showed how all FINCA International affiliates perform across a number of different boundaries, in different macroeconomic and microeconomic climates. Because the data used for the study was more complex, a deeper analysis was carried out and more conclusions can be taken away.

As became clear soon in the study, the macroeconomic climate of a country plays a very important role in how successful branches are. For this, the cost of funds and financial spread as a percentage of average loans categories under loan performance was very telling. The financial spread an affiliate manages to turn is indicative of how well they perform total. Because the
Financial spread is a calculation based off cost of funds, the rate at which affiliates play a large role in deciding their fate. In Africa, the cost of funds was very low, allowing for a good financial spread. In Eurasia and the Greater Middle East, cost of funds was much higher and proved a significant problem for these affiliates.

Besides looking at current performance, it was important to look at growth and future potential for each region and affiliate. As it is, growth breaks down into two distinct categories: loan portfolio growth and client growth. This is an important distinction to make, as the results showed. Africa has the fastest client growth rate of all regions, but the slowest loan portfolio growth rate. This means that the average loan size in Africa will remain small, hampering the ability to become more profitable. In Latin America, the situation is exactly the opposite. The loan portfolio growth rate is the fastest of all regions, while the client growth rate is the slowest. Due to this Latin America will see average loan size increasing, likely helping overall profitability.

Overall, the data did not show any one region as a clear winner. Within each region, there are strong performers – Azerbaijan, Congo, Mexico – and weak performers – Afghanistan, Haiti, Kosovo. However, the results of the study give good detail as to where performance should be improved, helping FINCA International to focus its efforts.
Recommendations

This section contains the recommendations formulated from analysis on loan processing and transportation costs for loan officers. The recommendations have been refined in scope to make for the greatest possible ease in implementation.

Business Process Re-engineering for Loan Processing

In looking at loan processing, there were two main objectives: (1) analyze centralized versus decentralized loan processing, recommending which would most benefit FINCA; and (2) make general recommendations for how to streamline loan processing. Great care was taken to create process maps of all three types of loan products (Business Loans, Small Group Loans, and Village Group Loans) and use those to analyze the process.

When looking at centralization versus decentralization, it was decided that not many changes can be made to this. Currently, Business Loans are processed only in branches, while Small Group Loans and Village Group Loans are processed mainly in branches but go through the Head Office for disbursement. For all three types of loan products, the most significant part of the work – both in time and cost – is completed by the ARO. This involves bringing in new clients, visiting their businesses and residences, and preparing all of the paperwork for their loan file. This part of the process simply cannot be centralized; the cost and logistical difficulty of sending loan officers from Kampala all over the country would be unfeasible. The only parts of the process which could be centralized – those involving the ARS and Branch Manager – do not involve lots of work or room for error, so moving this to the central Head Office would not help streamline the process. The current balance between centralization and decentralization is fitting for how loans are processed, and it is recommended that no changes are made to this.

From looking at the entire loan approval process, it is clear that there is room for improvement. For each type of loan product, there is a step in the process where the ARS reviews loan files to ensure that all necessary information is present before passing the file along to the next step. When ARSs were interviewed, they said that files are frequently turned back to collect more information. Additionally, they said that these types of mistakes are more common with newer AROs. Seeing as FINCA has been opening many new branches recently, these types of mistakes are likely being made more frequently around the country than at the Ben Kiwanuka branch (where interviews were conducted), which is the oldest branch in the country. Mistakes can vary from a simple missing calculation (which takes a few minutes to fix) or missing pictures of a business and
residence (which would take half a day to fix). These kinds of revisions are unnecessary – they are the result of human error and have the potential to significantly slow down the loan process. It is recommended that FINCA implement computerized loan files, which would not be able to be passed along to the next step of approval until all necessary information is present. This would ensure that there are no costly revisions taking place, and would remove the decentralized errors present in the process.

Transportation Cost Analysis

FINCA has wanted to examine transportation for a long time, as there is no policy in place for how AROs should go about transportation. Currently, AROs take either public transportation or FINCA-owned vehicles, and then expense the cost to FINCA on a weekly basis. Analysis was conducted from a few different angles – how transportation works in Kampala and rural areas, whether fuel expenses or maintenance & repairs are more costly, and seeing what the correlation was between a branch’s success (judged by operating margins) and how it conducts transportation. It was clearly seen that public transportation is more cost effective than FINCA-owned vehicles. In addition to the operating costs, using vehicles also means that FINCA will have to spend a considerable amount of initial capital to buy a vehicle. Then they have to go to the trouble of maintaining it and giving it repairs when needed. It is therefore recommended that FINCA AROs employ public transportation as much as possible when going about their duties.
Appendices

Business Loan Officer Questionnaire

Title: Account Relations Officer – Business Loans
Branch: Ben Kiwanuka

Walk me through your role in Business Loan processing.

Do you go out in the field to meet clients? If so, how often? How do you do transportation?

Which steps of your role take the most time?

Where do you think there is room for improvement in BL processing speed?

When things go wrong, where does this happen? How can it be fixed?
Business Loans Supervisor Questionnaire

Title: Account Relations Supervisor – Business Loans
Branch: Ben Kiwanuka

How many BL officers are there at Ben Kiwanuka?

Which steps of the process take the most time?

Business Loan process:

Which steps of your role take the most time?

How often does the loan committee meet?

Were there difficulties in implementing school fees loans as a part of BL?

Do you think the current loan periods (min 3 mo., max 3 yrs) are appropriate?

Where do you think there is room for improvement in BL processing speed?

Do you utilize technology/how can technology be implemented to help BL processing?

When things go wrong, where does this happen? How can it be fixed?
Small Group Loans Officer Questionnaire

Title: Account Relations Officer – Small Group Loans
Branch: Ben Kiwanuka

Walk me through your role in SGL processing from beginning to end.

How are SGL officers assigned to clients? – supervisor question

How often do you go out in the field to meet clients? How do you do transportation?

Which steps of your role take the most time?

Is the payment period adequate? Have groups complained about this?

Is the total loan period adequate? Have groups complained about this?

Is the loan size adequate (for groups and individuals)? Have groups complained about this?

Where do you think there is room for improvement in SGL processing speed?

Do you utilize technology/how can technology be implemented to help BL processing?

When things go wrong, where does this happen? How can it be fixed?
Small Group Loans Supervisor Questionnaire

Title: Account Relations Supervisor – Small Group Loans
Branch: Ben Kiwanuka

Walk me through SGL processing from beginning to end.

How are SGL officers assigned to clients?

Which steps of your role take the most time?

Is the payment period adequate? Have groups complained about this?

Is the total loan period adequate? Have groups complained about this?

Is the loan size adequate (for groups and individuals)? Have groups complained about this?

Do you think securities are necessary? Why or why not?

Where do you think there is room for improvement in SGL processing speed?

Do you utilize technology/how can technology be implemented to help BL processing?

When things go wrong, where does this happen? How can it be fixed?
Village Group Loan Officer Questionnaire

Title: Account Relations Officer – Village Group Loans  
Branch: Ben Kiwanuka

Walk me through your role in Village Loan processing.

Do you market loans to clients?

How often do you go out in the field to meet clients? How do you do transportation?

Which steps of your role take the most time?

Where do you think there is room for improvement in VGL processing speed?

Do you utilize technology/how can technology be implemented to help VGL processing?

When things go wrong, where does this happen? How can it be fixed?
Walk me through VGL processing from beginning to end.

Which steps of your role take the most time?

Is the payment period adequate? Have groups complained about this?

Is the total loan period adequate? Have groups complained about this?

Is the loan size adequate (for groups and individuals)? Have groups complained about this?

How has the rise of SGL affected VGL?

Where do you think there is room for improvement in VGL processing speed?

Do you utilize technology/how can technology be implemented to help VGL processing?

When things go wrong, where does this happen? How can it be fixed?
Branch Manager Questionnaire

Title: Branch Manager
Branch: Ben Kiwanuka

What are the issues you see with BL Processing? Where is there room for improvement?

What are the issues you see with SGL Processing? Where is there room for improvement?

What are the issues you see with VGL Processing? Where is there room for improvement?

How much flexibility is there to change the amount of paperwork required in the initial stages? Can the paperwork possibly be merged?

How many errors do you see in forms you get from supervisors? How often do they need to be turned back for further revision?

Is computerizing loan forms a viable option? Do you think employees could adjust to this fairly easily?

Where have employees said there is room for improvement?

How do you handle transportation expenses for loan officers? Have you tried to enforce any policy to cut these expenses?

Are there any other areas where you think technology can be incorporated to reduce processing speed?
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